

Embracing Complexity in System of Systems Analysis and Architecting

Complex Adaptive System 2013 November 13-15, 2013, Baltimore, MA

Cihan H. Dagli INCOSE and IIE Fellow Founder Director Systems Engineering Graduate Program Missouri University of Science and Technology



Outline

- Systems of Systems Analysis and Architecting
 - Sos as a Complex System
 - Modeling Approach
 - Meta-Architecture Generation
 - Simulation Methodology
- Concluding Remarks

SoS as Complex Systems

- Motivation for SoS as Complex System
 - Current lack of understanding of system participation choice on the overall SoS capability.
 - Simulation and Modeling techniques for Acknowledged SoS are still in their infancy.
 - Need for Domain Independent SoS Architecture assessment method.
- Objectives for SoS as Complex System
 - To develop a proof of concept ABM tools suite for SoS systems simulation for architecture selection and evolution.
 - To have a structured, repeatable approach for planning and modeling.
 - To study and evaluate the impact of individual system behavior on SoS capability and architecture evolution process.

Acknowledged SoS: Complex System

- Recognized objectives, a designated manager
 - Allocated resources for the SoS development
- Constituent systems
 - Independent ownership, objectives
 - May be different in any stage of their life cycle
 - Their own development and sustainment approaches
- Participation in the SoS may be desired, but infeasible
 - Changes in the systems are based on collaboration between the SoS and the system.
 - There are no guarantees that individual systems will be able to deliver any part of the capability they are asked to provide to the SoS.

SoS Acquisition Wave Model

• The evolution of the SoS proceeds in Waves of Analysis and Update



The Start of an Acknowledged SoS



Global ISR Mission SoS

- In the Gulf War, Iraqi forces used mobile missile launchers called Transporter Erector Launchers (TELS) to strike at Israel and Coalition forces with ballistic missiles.
- Existing intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) assets were inadequate to find the TELs during their vulnerable setup and knock down time.
- This offers a prime example of existing systems being inadequate to address a mission, but some relatively low cost, quick changes, and joining together of existing systems might be used to create an SoS capability to achieve the mission.

MISSOURI UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Global ISR Mission SoS



Network Representation of SoS



- The framework is applicable to Acknowledged SoS.
- Each contributing system is a fully functioning, independently funded and managed system with predefined capabilities.
- Wave Model for SoS SE is used to abstract behavioral aspects of the acquisition process
- The SoS achieves its goals by combining existing system capabilities and adding minor new capabilities and interfaces.
- One cycle through the proposal agreement negotiation steps is an *epoch* in the wave model.

The overall mathematical framework of the ABM is described based on 3 main elements of the model:

1. **SoS acquisition environment**: The SoS agent is influenced by the changes in the SoS acquisition environment. Thus the initial environment model E_0 can be represented as a function of these variables:

 $E_0 = f(National \, priorities, SoS \, funding, threats)$

2. **SoS agent behavior**: SoS agent is responsible for the overall SoS engineering activity and coordinates with individual system agents to achieve the desired SoS mission capability.

3. **Individual system agent behavior**: Individual systems receive request for connectivity to SoS architecture. The system has the option to cooperate or negotiate with the SoS agent to request more funding, deadline or performance change.

SoS Agent

- Starts with an initial SoS architecture.
- Follows the Wave Model for SoS SE.
- Makes request to each system for capabilities defined in the initial architecture.
- Gathers responses from all systems.
- Evaluates SoS architecture quality from agreed system contributions.
- Negotiates with systems to achieve better SoS.

Create a domain specific model

- Key Performance Attribute algorithms for evaluating an SoS architecture using a fuzzy inference system (FIS).
- Feasibility rules prohibit some architectures.
- Search for SoS Meta-architecture
 - Genetic Algorithm develops optimized architectures. using the domain specific model.
- Negotiation with individual systems
 - Agent Based Model manages individual system negotiation models to produce a "realizable" architecture chromosome through their cooperation.



Evolutionary Methodologies For Solving Multi-Objective Functions

- Genetic Algorithm is used to generate candidates for SoS meta-architecture.
- Genetic algorithms work in an iterative process through many generations.
- A new set of genes is a result of random parent selection, cross over and mutation.
- As a result, the new combinations are efficiently explored based on available knowledge to find a new generation with better fitness. That is, a better objective function value.



Genetic Algorithm Search Operation



Systems are on diagonal, interfaces at i-j intersections Yellow/green – feasible/used; Blue – feasible/unused Red – infeasible/used; Brown – infeasible/unused



Network and Interfaces of System 1



Good Architecture





Mediocre Architecture

Fuzzy Assessor for Meta-Architecture to Calculate the Best SoS Architecture



Fuzzy Assessment for Multi-Attribute SoS Architectures



Attribute evaluations themselves are well suited to fuzzy logic approaches because of the difficult nature of boundaries between subjective evaluation ranges. A particular SoS architecture (chromosome) may fall partially into an Acceptable, and partly into a Marginal set.

Fuzzy Assessor

- The Fuzzy Assessor is used to evaluate the fitness of an architecture chromosome.
- Fitness will be judged by a combination of the attributes of an architecture, such as:
 - Affordability, Performance, Robustness, Flexibility, Scalability...
 - Others, as developed through guided discussions with Stakeholders and Subject Matter Experts (SMEs).
- The attributes will be domain adjusted and selectable, using guidance from SMEs.
- Fuzzy membership functions Φ_k (derived from stakeholder views) describe the degrees of goodness in each attribute area.
- Fuzzy rules ρ_k (also derived from stakeholder views) combine the attributes into an overall fitness measure.

Fuzzy Inference System Output Surface





	System 1-3	Fighter EO/IR
Prep State	Sytem 4-7	RPA EO/IR
Initialize Sys	tem System 8	U-2 EO/IR
COOPERATI	VE System 9	DSP IR
SELFISH	System 10-	12 Fighter Rada
OPPORTUN	STIC System 13	JSTARS Rad
Transition States of	SOS System 14-1	5 Tactical Link 17 BLOS Link
Initialize SO	System 18-	19 Theatre CONUS
Conduct SO	S Analysis System 21-2	22 Control Stat.
Develop Evo Plan SOS up	Me SOS date	

Negotiating Between SoS and System Providing Capability to SoS

- Rules of Engagement for SoS Agent.
- System Negotiation Models: They are incorporated in the ABM simulation as MATLAB executable called by the environment.
 - Cooperative.
 - Selfish.
 - Opportunistic.

User–Inputs for Negotiation Models



Negotiation Model options

	Selfish Negotiation Model pa	Oppor	Opportunistic Negotiation Model parameters				
Cooperative Negotiation Model parameters output elasticity of Performance	To change the characteristics of t	he system k	System	Behavior parameters L	1	2	-0,
output elasticity of Funding	Resource per time unit Z_Avg	Ū-	System	Behavior parameters M			-0
output elasticity of Deadline	Z_Range Growth_rate		System	Selfishness parameters eta	0	0.5	1
V beta	– Critical_pro	0 0.8 1	V 12-0	appartunistic			
0.7	Variable O		 ✓ M₂ ✓ Btz 0.5 	ooprtunistic _opportunistic			
)					

Concluding Remarks

- SoS are systems at the edge of chaos
- SoS can be represented as networks
- It is important to understand emergence in SoS context
- Tools for SoS architecting should provide capabilities to analyze SoS as complex systems with cant properties including
 - Individual systems
 - Interactions
 - Operation
 - Diversity
 - Operational environment
 - Activities

Concluding Remarks

- SoS acquisition wave model responds nicely with space, time, complexity and self organization attributes of complex systems.
- Mathematical models can be developed in formulating the dynamics of SoS systems.
- Meta-architecture is the driving force in creating the behavior of SoS as in the case in complex systems.
- Rules of engagement incorporated with meta-architecture creates the emergent behavior of SoS.

Concluding Remarks

- Acknowledged SoS is a point in the spectrum of complex systems.
- Agent Based Architecture model framework can support decision making of the acknowledged SoS manger in negotiating SoS with participating systems
- It is possible to produce a SoS meta-architecture using genetic algorithms with fuzzy logic based inference providing a fitness assessor.
- ABM model and the approach need to be validated with a real life experiment.

Acknowledgement

This presentation is based upon work supported, in whole or in part, by the U.S. Department of Defense through the Systems Engineering Research Center (SERC) under Contract H98230-08-D-0171. SERC is a federally funded University Affiliated Research Center managed by Stevens Institute of Technology. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense.

